

Let us consider the following situation:

$$X := L^2(\Omega), \quad Y := H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N \text{ open \& bounded regular set}$$

$$\text{let, } A_m u := \sum_{i,j=1}^N D_i (a_{ij}^m D_j u),$$

$$A_m: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{-2}(\Omega),$$

where:

- $a_{ij}^m \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad a_{ij}^m = a_{ji}^m \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$

- $\alpha |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}^m (\xi_i \xi_j) \leq \beta |\xi|^2, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N.$

Assume $a_{ij}^m \rightarrow a_{ij}$ a.e. in Ω .

Fix $g \in H^{-2}(\Omega)$ and let $u_m, u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be the solutions of

$$A_m u_m = g, \quad Au = g \quad \text{in } H^{-2}(\Omega).$$

respectively.

Then:

$$\boxed{u_m \xrightarrow{S-H_0^1} u.}$$

Proof:

i) $u_k \xrightarrow{w-H^1_0} u$: let $\varphi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$; then

$$(*) \quad \langle g, \varphi \rangle = \langle Au_m, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}^m D_j u_m D_i \varphi \, dx.$$

By taking $\varphi = u_m$, we get

$$\alpha \|u_m\|_{H^1_0}^2 \leq \langle Au_m, u_m \rangle = \langle g, u_m \rangle \leq \|g\|_{H^{-1}} \|u_m\|_{H^1_0}$$

$\Rightarrow \|u_m\|_{H^1_0}$ uniformly bounded \Rightarrow up to a subsequence $u_m \xrightarrow{w-H^1_0} v$.

We want to prove that $v = u$.

For, notice that we have

$$\bullet \quad D_j u_m \xrightarrow{w-L^2} D_j v$$

$$\bullet \quad a_{ij}^m D_i \varphi \xrightarrow{s-L^2} a_{ij} D_i \varphi \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, N$$

[by pointwise convergence + $|\sum_{i=1}^N a_{ij}^m D_i \varphi| \leq B |D_i \varphi|$]

So, we can pass to the limit in (*), getting:

$$\langle g, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} D_j u_m D_i \varphi \, dx = \langle Au, \varphi \rangle.$$

So $u = v$.

$$ii) \quad u_m \xrightarrow{S-H_0^2} u;$$

$$\alpha \|u - u_m\|_{H_0^2}^2 \leq \langle A_m(u_m - u), u_m - u \rangle$$

$$= \langle A_m u_m, u_m \rangle - 2 \langle A_m u_m, u \rangle + \langle A_m u, u \rangle$$

$$= \langle g, u_m \rangle - 2 \langle g, u \rangle + \langle A_m u, u \rangle$$

$$\rightarrow \langle g, u \rangle - 2 \langle g, u \rangle + \langle A u, u \rangle$$

$$= 0$$

by pointwise convergence of $A_m \rightarrow A$

\rightarrow We would like to understand whether it is possible to generalize the above situation to the case where the operators A_m converge in a weaker sense to A , but in such a way that the solutions of the problems $A_m u_m = g$ still converge to the solution of $Au = g$.

The setting we want to consider is the following:

Let X, Y be separable Hilbert spaces,

Y compactly contained in X , and dense in X .

For $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < +\infty$, set

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha, \beta} := \left\{ A: Y \rightarrow Y' : A \text{ positive, self-adjoint, } \alpha \|u\|_Y^2 \leq \langle Au, u \rangle \leq \beta \|u\|_Y^2 \right\}$$

• Def: we say that a sequence $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \beta}$
G-converges strongly [weakly] to $A \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \beta}$

iff

$$A_n^{-1} g \xrightarrow{w-\gamma} A^{-1} g \quad [A_n^{-1} g \xrightarrow{w-\gamma} A^{-1} g]$$

for all $g \in Y'$.

• Notice that

$$Au = g$$

is the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional

$$L(u) := \langle Au, u \rangle - 2 \langle g, u \rangle,$$

and that $F(u) := \langle Au, u \rangle$ is a quadratic form on Y .
 Moreover, L admits a unique minimum point in Y :
 indeed:

- L strictly convex [$\alpha > 0$]
- L w-l.s.c. [$\alpha > 0$]
- L seq-coercive [$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} L(x) = +\infty$]

→ The connection between quadratic forms and self-adjoint positive operators is clear:

$$F \in \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha, \beta} \iff A \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad F(u) = \langle Au, u \rangle$$

where:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha, \beta} := \{ F: Y \rightarrow [0, \infty) : F \text{ quadratic, } \alpha \|x\|^2 \leq F(x) \leq \beta \|x\|^2 \}$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F_m)_m \in Q_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F \quad [\text{in the strong or in the weak topology}] \\
 \Downarrow \\
 F \in Q_{\alpha, \beta}
 \end{aligned}$$

[this is done by using the fact that]

$$F: Y \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$i) F(0) = 0$$

$$ii) F(\lambda x) \leq \lambda^2 F(x) \quad \forall \lambda > 0 \quad \forall x \in Y$$

$$iii) F(x+y) + F(x-y) \leq 2(F(x) + F(y)) \quad \forall x, y \in Y$$

$\Rightarrow F$ quadratic]

Moreover, by using the integral representation of Γ -limits, it is possible to prove the following:

Let $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$ be L^∞ functions s.t.

$$\bullet a_{ij} = a_{ji} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

$$\bullet \alpha |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \beta |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

and consider the class $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha, \beta}$ of all quadratic functionals $F: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ of the form:

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} D_i u D_j u \right) dx & u \in H^1(\Omega), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $\forall (F_m)_m \subset \tilde{Q}_{\alpha, \beta}$ there exists a subsequence $(F_{m_k})_k$ and $F \in \tilde{Q}_{\alpha, \beta}$ s.t. $F_{m_k} \xrightarrow{\Gamma-L^2} F$.

In particular, if $F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma-L^2} F$, then $F \in \tilde{Q}_{\alpha, \beta}$.

• The relation between G -convergence and Γ -convergence is given by the following result:

• Thm:

Let $(F_m)_m \subset Q_{\alpha, \beta}$ and let $(A_m)_m \subset \mathcal{A}_{\alpha, \beta}$ be the corresponding operators.

Then, the following are equivalent:

i) $F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma-w_y} F$

ii) $\min_{\gamma \in \gamma} [F_m(\gamma) - 2\langle g, \gamma \rangle] \rightarrow \min_{\gamma \in \gamma} [F(\gamma) - 2\langle g, \gamma \rangle]$
for all $g \in \gamma'$

iii) $A_m \xrightarrow{G-w_y} A$

Proof:

• i) \Rightarrow ii): $(F_m)_m$ is w -equi-coercive

• ii) \Rightarrow iii): let $u_m \in \gamma$ be the minimum of

$$L_m(u) := F_m(u) - 2\langle g, u \rangle.$$

Then u_m satisfies the EL-equation:

$$A_m u_m = g.$$

In particular, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(u_n) - 2 \langle g, u_n \rangle &= \langle A_n u_n, u_n \rangle - 2 \langle g, u_n \rangle \\ &= - \langle g, u_n \rangle = \\ &= - \langle g, A_n^{-1} g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, the left-hand side converges to

$$F(u) - 2 \langle g, u \rangle = - \langle g, A^{-1} g \rangle$$

u is the minimum
of $F(y) - 2 \langle g, y \rangle$
in γ

Thus, we get:

$$(*) \quad \langle g, A_n^{-1} g \rangle \rightarrow \langle g, A^{-1} g \rangle$$

for all $g \in \gamma$!

What we have to prove is that $A_n^{-1} g \xrightarrow{\mathcal{W}-\gamma} A^{-1} g$,
for all $g \in \gamma$! So, fix $g, f \in \gamma$, and write:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, A_n^{-1} g \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle f+g, A_n^{-1} (f+g) \rangle - \langle f-g, A_n^{-1} (f-g) \rangle] \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{by } (*)} \frac{1}{4} [\langle f+g, A^{-1} (f+g) \rangle - \langle f-g, A^{-1} (f-g) \rangle] \\ &= \langle f, A^{-1} g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

So we get our result.

- (ii) \Rightarrow i): because of our hypothesis, Γ -convergence in the weak topology is characterized by the two sequential properties.

So:

- Γ -limsup: let $u \in Y$ and consider the problem:

$$\begin{cases} A_m u_m = Au, \\ u_m \in Y. \end{cases}$$

By (ii) we get that, $u_m \xrightarrow{w-Y} u$.
Moreover, we have that:

$$F_m(u_m) = \langle A_m u_m, u_m \rangle = \langle Au, u_m \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{by the equation}} \langle Au, u \rangle = F(u)$$

- Γ -liminf: let $u \in Y$ and $v_m \xrightarrow{w-Y} u$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(v_m) &= F_m(-u_m + v_m + u_m) \\ &= \underbrace{F_m(v_m - u_m)}_{\geq 0} + 2 \langle \underbrace{A_m u_m}_{= Au}, v_m - u_m \rangle + F_m(u_m) \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \underbrace{2 \langle Au, v_m - u_m \rangle}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{F_m(u_m)}_{\rightarrow F(u)}$$

\square

- Remark: the equivalence between i) and ii) is peculiar of quadratic functionals; it says that, in order to have $F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma-u} F$, we just need to check that the minimum of every linear perturbation of F_m converges to the minimum of F perturbed in the same way.

In general, for a metric space [or in a situation like the convex case] it holds that:

let $(F_m): X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ equi-coercive,
and let $F: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ l.s.c.
Then:

$$F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F \iff$$

for every $G: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ continuous
 $\inf_X (F+G) = \lim_m \inf_X (F_m+G).$

- The quadratic forms F_m are defined only on $Y \subset X$. With an abuse of notation, it is possible to extend them to the whole space X as follows:

$$F_m(x) := \begin{cases} F_m(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise in } X \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

We do the same with F ,

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{if } x \in Y, \\ +\infty & \text{in } X \setminus Y. \end{cases}$$

We have the following:

• Thm:

$$F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma\text{-}s_X} F \iff F_{m|_Y} \xrightarrow{\Gamma\text{-}s_Y} F|_Y.$$

Proof:

• \Rightarrow : • Γ -convergence: let $u \in X$; then $\exists \boxed{u_m \xrightarrow{s-X} u}$
 s.t. $F(u) = \liminf_m F_m(u_m)$.

Let $u \in Y \Rightarrow F(u) < +\infty \Rightarrow F_m(u_m)$ bounded.

But: $\forall \|u_m\|_Y \leq F_m(u_m) \leq M$

$\Rightarrow u_m \xrightarrow{s-Y} v \Rightarrow u_{n_k} \xrightarrow{s-X} v \Rightarrow v = u$

Since this can be done for every subsequence, we get $u_m \xrightarrow{s-Y} u$.

• Γ -convergence: let $u \in Y$, $u_m \xrightarrow{s-Y} u \Rightarrow u_m \xrightarrow{s-X} u$.
 Thus: $F(u) \leq \liminf_m F_m(u_m)$. compact embedding

• \Leftarrow : • Γ -convergence: let $u \in X$ and $u_m \xrightarrow{s-X} u$;
 assume $\liminf_m F_m(u_m) = \lim_m F_m(u_m) < +\infty$.

So, $u_m \in Y$ and $(u_m)_m$ is bounded

$\Rightarrow u_{n_k} \xrightarrow{s-Y} u$.

So:

$$F(u) \leq \liminf_k F_{n_k}(u_{n_k}) = \lim_k F_{n_k}(u_{n_k}) = \liminf_m F_m(u_m).$$

- Γ -compact: let $u \in X$ s.t. $F(u) < +\infty \Rightarrow u \in Y$
 $\Rightarrow \exists u_m \xrightarrow{s-x} u$ s.t. $F(u) = \lim_m F_m(u_m)$
 $\Rightarrow u_m \xrightarrow{s-x} u$
compact
embedding

(2)

- Similarly, it is possible to prove that,

$$F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{s-x}} F \iff F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{v-x}} F$$

Moreover, it also holds that,

$$\begin{cases} F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{s-x}} F \\ F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{v-x}} F \end{cases} \iff A_m^{-1} g \xrightarrow{s-x} A^{-1} g \quad \forall g \in X!$$

The bottom line is that the following are equivalent:

$$i) F_m \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{-S_x}} F$$

$$ii) F_{m|Y} \xrightarrow{\Gamma_{-U_Y}} F|_Y$$

$$iii) \forall g \in X', \min_{u \in X} [F_m(u) - \langle g, u \rangle] \rightarrow \min_{u \in X} [F(u) - \langle g, u \rangle]$$

$$iv) \forall g \in Y', \min_{u \in Y} [F_m(u) - \langle g, u \rangle] \rightarrow \min_{u \in Y} [F(u) - \langle g, u \rangle]$$

v) $\forall g \in X'$, the solution u_m of

$$\begin{cases} A_m u_m = g, \\ u_m \in Y, \end{cases}$$

converges strongly to the solution u of

vi) $\forall g \in Y'$, the solution u_m of

$$\begin{cases} A_m u_m = g, \\ u_m \in Y, \end{cases}$$

converges weakly to the solution u of

$$\begin{cases} Au = g, \\ u \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Let $(A_m) \xrightarrow{\sigma-\nu} A$, and let λ_i^m, λ_i be the
of eigenvalues.

then:

$$i) \lambda_i^m \rightarrow \lambda_i$$

ii) if $\lambda_{i-2} < \lambda_i = \lambda_{i+2} = \dots = \lambda_{i+m_i} < \lambda_{i+m_i+1}$,
let $E(u_i, \dots, u_{i+m_i})$ be the eigenspace
of $\lambda_i, \dots, \lambda_{i+m_i}$, and let $E_m(u_i^m, \dots, u_{i+m_i}^m)$
be the space generated by $u_i^m, \dots, u_{i+m_i}^m$.

then:

$$E_m(u_i^m, \dots, u_{i+m_i}^m) \xrightarrow{K} E(u_i, \dots, u_{i+m_i})$$

iii) if λ is not an eigenvalue of A , then

$$\forall g \in X' \quad (A_m - \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} g \xrightarrow{\sigma-\nu} (A - \lambda \text{Id})^{-1} g.$$

• Some variants;

i) $g_m \xrightarrow{s-\gamma'} g$, $A_m \xrightarrow{G-u} A$, then the solutions u_m of the problem

$$\begin{cases} A_m u_m = g_m \\ u_m \in Y \end{cases}$$

weakly converges in Y to the solution of

$$\begin{cases} Au = g \\ u \in Y \end{cases}$$

ii) Let $(A_m)_m$ be a sequence of operators in $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \beta}$ in divergence form that G -converges in L^2 to an operator A [A will belong to $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and will be in divergence form].

Let u_m be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} A_m u_m = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_m - \varphi \in H_0^1, \end{cases}$$

where $g \in L^2$, $\varphi \in H_0^1$. Then $u_m \xrightarrow{L^2} u$, where u solves

$$\begin{cases} Au = g & \text{in } \Omega \\ u - \varphi \in H_0^1 \end{cases}$$

iii) In the same hypothesis, assume also $\partial\Omega$ Lipschitz, $\lambda > 0$ and let u_m be the unique weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} A_m u_m + \lambda u_m = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij}^m D_j u_m \nu_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then $u_m \xrightarrow{L^2} u$, where u solves

$$\begin{cases} Au + \lambda u = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N a_{ij} D_j u \nu_i = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$